

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Lisa Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

Kathleen Guith

Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

BY:

Jin Lee &

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Nicholas Mueller Non

Attorney

SUBJ:

MUR 7213 (Labor United for Connecticut)

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

On August 7, 2018, the Commission found that there is no reason to believe that Labor United for Connecticut and Paul Filson in his official capacity as treasurer ("LUC") violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(f) or 30118(a) by accepting or spending nonfederal funds. Further, the Commission dismissed allegations that LUC violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30102, 30103, or 30104 by failing to register and report as a political committee and §§ 30104(b) or (c), and 30104(g) by failing to timely report independent expenditures. The Commission also voted to approve the Factual and Legal Analysis ("F&LA"), subject to the edits made by the Commission.

10 11

12

13 14 Four days before the Commission's vote, however, in *CREW v. FEC*, No. 16-259 (D.D.C. Aug. 3, 2018), the court vacated the regulation at 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(e)(1)(vi), which requires the identification of "each person who made a contribution in excess of \$200 to the person filing such report, which contribution was made for the purpose of furthering the reported independent expenditure." Because the F&LA approved by the Commission refers to the vacated regulation, we believe that modification is appropriate

Certification, MUR 7213

(Aug. 7, 2018).

¹⁵ 16

⁷

³ Id.; see also F&LA MUR 7213, (Aug. 7, 2018)

18

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

26

and recommend that the Commission reopen this matter, rescind the approved F&LA, and approve the attached F&LA that removes the reference to section 109.10(e)(1)(vi).4

While the court's order stayed the vacatur for 45 days,5 we recommend removing the reference to the regulation from the previously approved F&LA to avoid confusion in the public record. Further, donor disclosure for LUC's independent expenditures was not an issue in the case, and the reference to the regulation was only included to provide an overview of independent expenditure reporting requirements for persons other than political committees. Thus, eliminating the reference to section 109.10(e)(1)(vi) does not affect the Commission's legal analysis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Reopen this matter.
- 2. Rescind the Factual and Legal Analysis approved on August 7, 2018.
- 3. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.
- 4. Close the file.

See Attach. 1.

Order, CREW v. FEC, No. 16-259, at 2 (D.D.C. Aug. 3, 2018).